1. Introduction

Optical amplifiers are of potential use in wide variety of optoelectronic and optical communication applications, particularly for Wavelength Division Multiplexing (WDM) to increase the number of channels and transmission capacity in optical network systems. For efficient performance of WDM systems, essential requirements are larger bandwidth, higher output power and flat gain over entire region of operation. Recent research is focused on design and development of fiber/MEMS-compatible optical amplifiers. Some examples of such sources are semiconductor quantum dot light-emitting diodes, super-luminescent diodes, Erbium doped fibre amplifier (EDFA, 1530-1625nm), Erbium doped planar amplifier (EDWAs), Fibre Raman amplifier, Thulium doped fibre amplifier (1460-1510nm). However, for many applications covering the total telecommunication window (1260-1700nm) is highly desirable and as such it is not yet realized. Typical attenuation spectrum for glassy host is shown in Figure 1. Specially the low loss region extending from 1450 to 1600 nm, deemed the 3rd telecommunication window, emerged as the most practical for long haul telecommunication systems. This window has been split into several distinct bands: Short-band (S-band), Centre-band (C-band) and Long-band (L-band). With several generations of development, the transmission rates have increased dramatically so that several Terabits per second data can be transmitted over a single optical fiber at carrier wavelengths near 1550 nm, a principal optical communication window in which propagation losses are minimum. EDFAs are attractive to WDM technology to compensate the losses introduced by WDM systems and hence has grown as a key to upgrade the transmission capacity of the present fiber links. EDFAs are widely used in long-haul fiber optic networks where the fiber losses are limited to 0.2 dB/km, is compensated periodically by placing EDFAs in the transmission link with spacing of up to 100 km. EDFAs make use of trivalent erbium (Er$^{3+}$) ions to provide the optical amplification at wavelengths near 1550 nm, the long wavelength window dominantly used in optic networks since the fiber losses are found minimum around this wavelength. Light from an external energy source at a wavelength of 980 nm or 1450 nm, coupled along with the information signal, and is passed through the EDFA to excite the Er$^{3+}$ ions in order to produce the optical amplification through stimulated emission of photons at the signal wavelength. Er$^{3+}$ doped waveguides (EDWA) have
obvious advantages as short-length devices and can be integrated monolithically or in hybrid form. Thus individual or co-doped rare earth doped glass fibres/waveguide research is of great promise and concentrated mostly on silicate glasses. However, their Amplified Spontaneous Emission (ASE) bandwidth is limited and also intrinsic absorption occurs even in its most pure form and is associated with the vibrational characteristics of the chemical bonds such as silicon-oxygen bonds. Recently, rare earth co-doped fluoride and tellurite glasses have shown considerable ASE with extended bandwidth, where the emission broadness arises due to inter-ion energy transfer. Overall, the specific technological information related to suitability of rare earth doping, and proper choice of glass matrix is still not clear and worthy of pursuit.

Fig. 1. Loss characteristics of silica fiber and emission bands of some rare earth ions in different glass hosts.

In general, application and utilities of glassy materials are enormous and are governed by the factors like composition, refractive index, dopants/impurities present in the glasses. Demanding physical features of rare earth doped fibers/waveguide devices are (1) high solubility of rare earth for large concentration doping, (2) large temperature gap between the glass transition to crystallization temperature, (3) physical durability such as mechanical and moisture robustness. Moreover, the rare earth emission in glassy matrix is strongly dependent on crystal field effects, local environment where the ion is situated, phonon energies, refractive index and precise details about glass defects (Urbach tails) extended into the band gap. Though silicate glass is inexpensive and most useful matrix for many applications, the low refractive index and strong OH band contributions are major disadvantages. Numerous investigations were made in search for improvised and suitable glass matrix for strong rare earth emission. Among them, phosphate glasses are found to be most suitable for rare earth emission and phosphate glass lasers are already available in the market. However, the knowledge is still largely incomplete due to unusual structural characteristics such as high co-ordinations of the elements present and the large number of chemical elements that are being used in the compositions of these glasses. Our extensive studies on phosphate glasses show wide range of transparency, moisture insensitivity and ability in accepting large amount of rare earths as dopants, and most importantly the compatibility for fibre and waveguides (Vijaya Prakash et al., 2002; Surendra babu et al.,
In this chapter we review the essential rare earth spectroscopic details such as absorption analysis through Judd-Ofelt computations, emission lifetimes, gain cross-sections etc. We further discuss the characteristic features of suitable glass hosts. Finally, we present most common waveguide fabrication techniques and a comparative review of information related to available waveguide devices.

2. Spectral properties of rare earths ions

Optically active rare earth (RE) ions are characterized by their 4f electrons in seven 4f-orbitals. In addition to the most stable electronic configurations (ground state), various configurations with excited higher energy are possible in the thirteen RE ions from Ce$^{3+}$ to Yb$^{3+}$ ion. The energy of these different electronic configurations is separated by the Coulombic spin-orbit and ligand field interactions, resulting in the well-known energy level structures. The energy levels and various absorption and emission transitions of most sought-after Erbium (Er$^{3+}$) ions are shown in Figure 2A.

![Energy levels of Erbium ions](image)

The 4f$^N$ electron configuration of a rare earth ion is composed of a number of electronic states. The spread of 4f$^N$ energy levels arises from various atomic interactions between electrons and can be found by solving the time dependent Schrodinger equation. The weak interaction of the 4f electrons with electrons from other ions (in any environment) allows a Hamiltonian to be written for an individual rare earth ion as (Wybourne, 1965).

\[
\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{FI} + \hat{H}_{CF}
\]

where \( \hat{H}_{FI} \) is defined to incorporate the isotropic parts of \( \hat{H} \) (including the spherically symmetric part of the 4f -electron-crystal-field interactions) and \( \hat{H}_{CF} \) is defined to represent the non-spherically symmetric components of the even parity crystal-field. The majority of RE optical absorption transitions occur between states which belong to the 4f$^N$ configuration.
For intra-f shell transitions the initial and final states have the same parity; this would imply that the electric-dipole process is forbidden (Görler-Walrand et al., 1998). However, in cases where the site symmetry is not a centre of inversion (as usually the case of the glass), the site interaction Hamiltonian can contain odd-parity terms, thereby introducing a degree of electric-dipole strength into the intra-f-shell transitions. Using some simplifications, Judd and Ofelt have provided a way to treat conveniently the spectral intensities of intraconfigurational transitions of 4f ions in solids (Judd, 1962; Ofelt, 1962).

The experimental oscillator strengths of the absorption spectral transitions are calculated from (Reisfeld et al., 1977)

\[ f_{\text{exp}} = \frac{2303mc^2}{N\pi \varepsilon^2} \int \varepsilon(\nu) d\nu \]  

where \( m \) and \( e \) are the electron mass and charge respectively, \( c \) is the velocity of light and \( \varepsilon(\nu) \), the extinction coefficient and is given by \( \varepsilon(\nu) = \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu_0} \right) \log \left( \frac{I_1}{I_0} \right) \) where \( c \) is concentration of RE ion in moles/litre, \( l \) is the optical path length (cm) and \( \log(10/1) \) is the absorptivity. According to Judd-Ofelt (JO) theory the oscillator strengths for an induced electric dipole transition can be calculated theoretically from the relation

\[ f_{\text{cal}} = \sigma \sum T_{\lambda} \left\langle f^N \psi_j \left| \mathbf{U} \right| f^N \psi_j \right\rangle^2 \]  

Where \( T_{\lambda} = \tau_{\lambda}(2J+1)^{-1} \), \( \sigma \) is the mean energy of the transition in cm\(^{-1}\), \( T_{\lambda} \) are the adjustable Judd-Ofelt parameters and the \( U^j_\lambda \) are doubly reduced unit matrix elements. Since these matrix elements are insensitive to ion environment, free ion matrix elements are used from literature. The experimental oscillator strengths were least square fit to obtain the intensity parameters \( T_{\lambda} \). These parameters are used in turn to calculate \( \Omega_\lambda \) \((\lambda = 2, 4 \text{ and } 6)\) using the expression,

\[ \Omega_\lambda (cm^2) = \frac{3h}{8\pi^2 mc} \frac{9\eta(2J+1)T_{\lambda}}{(\eta^2 + 2)^2} \]  

where \( \eta \) is the refractive index of the medium, \( (2J+1) \) is the degeneracy of the ground level of the particular ion of interest.

In general, the oscillator strengths and positions of given transitions are sensitive to the local environment of RE ion sites occupied within the glass network. Therefore, JO parameters provide critical information about the nature of bond between RE ions to the surrounding ligands, particularly \( \Omega_2 \) parameter is sensitive to the local environment of RE ions and is often related to the asymmetry of the coordination structure, the polarizability of ligand ions and nature of bonding. On the other hand the values of \( \Omega_4 \) and \( \Omega_6 \) values, depend on bulk properties such as viscosity and dielectric of the media and are also effected by the vibronic transitions of the rare earth ions bound to the ligand atoms (Vijay Prakash et al., 2000).

Accurate knowledge of absorption and emission cross sections is required for a range of applications, such as modelling of optical amplifiers and fiber lasers. While it is not straightforward to measure both of these cross sections accurately, owing to complications such as spectral re-absorption, up-conversion, excited-state absorption etc. The theory of
McCumber (McCumber, 1964) is a powerful tool that permits one of these two cross sections to be calculated if the other is known from measurements. The radiative transition probability between the states $\psi J$ and $\psi J'$ is given by

$$A(\psi J, \psi J') = \frac{64\pi^2}{3\hbar(2J+1)} \left[ \frac{\eta^2 + 2}{9} S_{ed} + \eta^3 S_{md} \right]$$

(5)

where $S_{ed}$ and $S_{md}$ are the electric and magnetic dipole line strengths respectively given by

$$S_{ed} = e^2 \sum \omega_{J} \langle \psi J | U | \psi J' \rangle^2$$

(6)

and

$$S_{md} = \frac{e^2\hbar^2}{16\pi^2 \Delta^2} \langle \psi J | L + 25|\psi J' \rangle^2$$

(7)

The total radiative probability $A_T(\psi J)$, the radiative life time ($\tau_R$), branching ratios ($\beta_R$) and stimulated emission cross sections ($\sigma_p$) are given by

$$A_T(\psi J) = \sum A(\psi J, \psi J')$$

(8)

$$\beta_R = \frac{A(\psi J, \psi J')}{A_T(\psi J)}$$

(9)

$$\tau_R = (A_T(\psi J))^{-1}$$

(10)

and

$$\sigma_p(\lambda_p) = \frac{\lambda_p^4}{8\pi c \eta^2 \Delta \lambda_p} A(\psi J, \psi J')$$

(11)

where $\lambda_p$ and $\Delta \lambda_p$ are average emission wavelength and effective emission band width respectively.

While Er-doped glasses are of significant interest for C-band telecommunication window, in compact systems active material is often co-doped with Ytterbium (Yb$^{3+}$) to enhance absorption efficiency. To obtain sufficient population inversion, even at low concentration of Er, large amount of Yb$^{3+}$ sensitizing ions is required. Yb$^{3+}$ to Er migration-assisted non-radiative energy transfer scheme is shown in Figure 2B. For efficient population of the upper $4I_{13/2}$ laser level of Er$^{3+}$ ions via Yb$^{3+}$ ions, two indispensable conditions have to be fulfilled. The first one is a rapid excitation relaxation from $4I_{11/2} \rightarrow 4I_{13/2}$ of Er$^{3+}$ ion level is necessary. Otherwise reverse energy transfer back to Yb$^{3+}$ ions in combination with the up-conversion processes will compete the upper laser level population process. This may lead to reduction in efficiency, or even inhibit of 1550nm emission completely. Second one is the quantum yield of the upper laser level $4I_{11/2}$ luminescence should be high. Both conditions are well satisfied in phosphate glasses where Er$^{3+}$ ion luminescent lifetimes are typically $\tau_1 = 7.8 - 9.0$ ms for the $4I_{13/2}$ level and $\tau_2 \approx 1-3$ ms for the $4I_{11/2}$ level (Zhang et al., 2006; Liang et al., 2005).
The absorption spectra of Er\(^{3+}\)/Yb\(^{3+}\) co-doped NAP (Na\(_3\)Al\(_2\)P\(_3\)O\(_{12}\)) phosphate glass are shown in Figure 3 (Amarnath Reddy et al., 2010). The spectra consist of absorption bands corresponding to the transitions from the ground state \(\text{4I}_{15/2}\) of Er\(^{3+}\) ions. From the absorption spectral analysis three important JO parameters (\(\Omega_2\) = 2, 4 and 6), were obtained. As mentioned before, both covalence and site selectivity of RE ion with non-centro symmetric potential contributes significantly to \(\Omega_2\). The variation of \(\Omega_2\) with Er\(^{3+}\) concentration in various phosphate glasses is shown in Figure 4A and also in Table 1. Also, \(\Omega_2\) is strongly dependent on the hypersensitive transitions. Hypersensitivity is related to the covalency through nephelauxetic effect and affects the polarizability of the ligands around the rare earth ions (Kumar et al., 2007). Higher ligand polarizability results in a larger overlap between rare earth ions and ligands orbital, i.e., higher degree of covalency between rare earth ions and the ligands. Hypersensitive nature of \(\text{4I}_{15/2} \rightarrow \text{2H}\_{11/2}\) and \(\text{4G}_{11/2}\) transitions of Er\(^{3+}\) ions, which is strongly dependent on covalency and site asymmetry, governs the radiative properties of Er\(^{3+}\) ions. Though \(\Omega_2\) parameter can be an indicator for covalent bonding, in view of hypersensitivity, it is appropriate to look at the variation of the sum of the JO parameters with the oscillator strengths of hypersensitive transitions instead of taking a single parameter. Figure 4B compares the plots of \(\Sigma \Omega_\lambda\) (\(\lambda\) = 2, 4 and 6), against oscillator strengths of the hypersensitive transitions of Er\(^{3+}\) ions doped in various glass systems. Lower oscillator strengths are observed for ionic systems such as fluorides while highly covalent systems such as phosphate, and borate glasses show higher oscillator strengths. Electronic polarization of materials is widely regarded as one of the most influencing parameter and many physical, linear and nonlinear optical properties of materials are strongly dependent on it. Duffy, Dimitrov and Sakka correlated many independent linear optical entities to the oxide ion polarizability of single component oxides (Dimitrov & Sakka, 1996; Duffy, 1986). This polarizability approach, predominantly gives the insight into the strong relation between covalent/ ionic nature of materials and other optical parameters, such as optical band gap (\(E_{\text{opt}}\)) (Vijay Prakash, 2000). Recently we have related optical band gaps of various binary, ternary and quaternary oxide glasses to the polarizability (of cation) in terms of \(1-R_m/V_m\) (\(R_m=\)molar refractivity and \(V_m=\) molar volume) known as covalancy parameter or metallization parameter (Pradeesh et al., 2008). Generally, the covalancy parameter ranges from 0.3 to 0.45 for highly polarisable cation containing oxides (such as Pb\(^{2+}\) and Nb\(^{5+}\)), while for the alkaline and alkaline-earth (such as Na\(^{+}\), Li\(^{+}\)) oxides it falls in between 0.5-0.70. Although it is quite complex to correlate optical parameters of the glassy
Fig. 4. (A) variation of $\Omega_2$ with Er$^{3+}$ concentration in various phosphate glasses. (B) Oscillator strengths (as open symbols) of various hypersensitive ($^4 G_{11/2}$ and $^2 H_{11/2}$) and non-hypersensitive ($^4 I_{9/2}$ and $^4 S_{3/2}$) transitions of Er$^{3+}$ ions with respect to the sum of Judd–Ofelt parameters. Various other glasses are also incorporated (as filled symbols) for comparison (Vijaya Prakash et al., 1999,2000). (C) $\Omega_2$ and (D) $E_{\text{opt}}$ values against metallization parameter $(1-R_m/V_m)$ (Pradeesh et al. 2008, Vijaya Prakash et al., 1999,2000).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phosphate glass composition</th>
<th>$\Omega_2$</th>
<th>$\Omega_4$</th>
<th>$\Omega_6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>92(Na$_3$Al$_2$P$<em>3$O$</em>{12}$)-(8-x) Al$_2$O$_3$-(x)Er$_2$O$_3$ x=2</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92(Na$_3$Al$_2$P$<em>3$O$</em>{12}$)-(8-x) Al$_2$O$_3$-(x)Er$_2$O$_3$ x=3</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92(Na$_3$Al$_2$P$<em>3$O$</em>{12}$)-(8-x) Al$_2$O$_3$-(x)Er$_2$O$_3$ x=4</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92(Na$_3$Al$_2$P$<em>3$O$</em>{12}$)-(8-x) Al$_2$O$_3$-(x)Er$_2$O$_3$ x=5</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92(Na$_3$Al$_2$P$<em>3$O$</em>{12}$)-(8-x) Al$_2$O$_3$-(x)Er$_2$O$_3$ x=6</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92(Na$_3$Al$_2$P$<em>3$O$</em>{12}$)-(8-x) Al$_2$O$_3$-(x)Er$_2$O$_3$ x=7</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92(Na$_3$Al$_2$P$<em>3$O$</em>{12}$)-(8-x) Al$_2$O$_3$-(x)Er$_2$O$_3$ x=8</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92(Na$_2$Al$_2$P$<em>3$O$</em>{15}$)-(8-x) Al$_2$O$_3$-(x)Er$_2$O$_3$ x=7</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92(Na$_2$Al$_2$P$<em>3$O$</em>{15}$)-(8-x) Al$_2$O$_3$-(x)Er$_2$O$_3$ x=8</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 PbCl$_2$ - 40P$_2$O$_5$, 1wt% Er$^{3+}$</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10Na$_2$O- 50 PbCl$_2$ - 40P$_2$O$_5$, 1wt% Er$^{3+}$</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20Na$_2$O- 40 PbCl$_2$ - 40P$_2$O$_5$, 1wt% Er$^{3+}$</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30Na$_2$O- 30 PbCl$_2$ - 40P$_2$O$_5$, 1wt% Er$^{3+}$</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_3$TiZnP$<em>2$O$</em>{12}$ 1wt% Er$^{3+}$</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>5.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_4$AlZnP$<em>2$O$</em>{12}$ 1wt% Er$^{3+}$</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Judd Ofelt (($\Omega_\lambda = 2, 4$ and 6) $\times 10^{20}$, cm$^2$) parameters for various phosphate glasses
systems with polarizability of oxide ions, it is interesting to see the influence of cation (such as Na⁺) polarizability on other independent parameters, such as J-O parameters. Since $\Omega_2$ values are known to show dependence on covalent nature of phosphate host, we made a plot between $\Omega_2$ and $E_{opt}$ values against metallization parameter ($1-R_m/V_m$) in Figure 4C&D. Interestingly, the $\Omega_2$ values are monotonically increasing with the metallization parameter. Though with respect to metallization parameters they show more of alkali oxide nature, significantly higher $\Omega_2$ indicates strong covalent nature, could possibly be due to the increased role of phosphate linkage, having more double bonded oxygens (DBOs) coordinated to the rare earth ions.

Further, table 2 gives the most useful physical and spectral parameters, viz., values of density($d$, gm/cm³), Refractive index ($n$), Judd-Oflet parameters ($(\Omega_\lambda, \lambda=2,4,6)\times10^{-20},$ cm²), calculated $(\tau_{cal},$ ms) and measured life times $(\tau_{exp},$ ms), effective line widths $(\Delta\lambda,$ nm), absorption $(\sigma, x10^{-21},$ cm²) and emission cross-sections $(\sigma, x10^{-21},$ cm²) of Er³⁺ and Er³⁺/Yb³⁺ doped in phosphate, Tellurite and silicate glasses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>RE</th>
<th>$d/\eta$</th>
<th>$\Omega_2$</th>
<th>$\Omega_4$</th>
<th>$\Omega_6$</th>
<th>$\tau_{exp}$</th>
<th>$\tau_{cal}$</th>
<th>$\Delta\lambda$</th>
<th>$\sigma_\lambda$</th>
<th>$\sigma_\epsilon$</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phosphate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20Na₂O-2Al₂O₃-xEr₂O₃-yYb₂O₃-30P₂O₅</td>
<td>Er³⁺-Yb³⁺</td>
<td>-/1.84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Bozelli et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schott</td>
<td>Er³⁺-Yb³⁺</td>
<td>-/1.52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.18</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Zhang et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EYDPG</td>
<td>Er³⁺-Yb³⁺</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Liang et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72P₂O₅-8Al₂O₃-20Na₂O</td>
<td>Er³⁺</td>
<td>-/1.51</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>Gangfeng et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM-I</td>
<td>Er³⁺-Yb³⁺</td>
<td>2.83/1.53</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>Bao-Yu et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67P₂O₅-14Al₂O₃-14Li₂O-1K₂O</td>
<td>Er³⁺-Yb³⁺</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.62</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>Wong et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.9Na₂O-30.8P₂O₅-18.7Nb₂O₅-13.9Ga₂O₅-6.6Er₂O₈</td>
<td>Er³⁺</td>
<td>-/1.71</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Righini et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tellurite</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80TeO₂-10ZnO-10Na₂O-20P₂O₅-0.5Er₂O₈</td>
<td>Er³⁺</td>
<td>4.36/1.95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fernandez et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67TeO₃-30P₂O₅-1Al₂O₃-1.75La₂O₃-0.25Er₂O₈</td>
<td>Er³⁺</td>
<td>3.75/2.00</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>Nandi et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60TeO₃-30WO₃-10Na₂O</td>
<td>Er³⁺</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>Zhao et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25WO₅-15Na₂O-66TeO₅+(0.05-2Er₂O₈)</td>
<td>Er³⁺</td>
<td>-/2.047</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Conti et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8Na₂O-27.6Nb₂O₅-64.4TeO₅-1Er₂O₈</td>
<td>Er³⁺</td>
<td>4.98/2.12</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>Lin et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Silicate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.0SiO₂-33.0B₂O₃-7.7Na₂O-4.0CaO-2.7Al₂O₃-0.6Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>Er³⁺</td>
<td>1.98/1.46</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>Ning et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.4SiO₂-11.73Na₂O-9.23CaO-16.67Al₂O₃-0.33P₂O₅-0.51K₂O-0.39Er₂O₈</td>
<td>Er³⁺</td>
<td>2.62/1.54</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>Berneschi et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61SiO₂-12Na₂O-3Al₂O₅-12LaF₃-12PbF₅</td>
<td>Er³⁺</td>
<td>3.62/1.66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shen et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73SiO₂-14Na₂O-11CaO-4Al₂O₅-0.4P₂O₅-0.6K₂O</td>
<td>Er³⁺-Yb³⁺,</td>
<td>-/1.53</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Righini et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOG-10</td>
<td>Er³⁺-Yb³⁺</td>
<td>-/1.54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>Peters et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Important spectroscopic parameters of Er³⁺ and Er³⁺/Yb³⁺ doped various phosphate, tellurite and silicate glasses.
3. Glasses for rare earth based amplifiers

Improvements in the quantum efficiency of the luminescent levels of RE ions can be achieved by selecting a suitable host material and by modifying the local environment surrounding them (Görl-Walrand et al., 1998). Such modifications are often achieved by breaking up the structure of atoms surrounding a rare earth ion with other, often larger elements, termed as network modifiers. Discussing the role of network modifiers requires an understanding of the basic structure of different glass network formers. Oxide glasses, such as borate, silicate, tellurite and phosphate glasses, have proven to be the appropriate host materials for the development of optoelectronic components. Among the oxide glass hosts, phasenphate glasses have attracted much attention due to their high transparency, thermal stability, good RE ion solubility, easy preparation in large scale, shaping and cost effective properties. Let us compare the structural features of phosphate glass with well-known silica and tellurite glass networks.

3.1 Silicate glass

Silica is built from basic structural units, the most common of which is the network former unit, \((\text{SiO}_4)^2-\). This network former consists of a silicon atom at the centre of a tetrahedron with an O atom bonded to each corner. The basic structure of silica glass, Figure 5A, contains tetrahedral units that are tightly connected by their corners through oxygen atoms (bridging oxygens); these random connections form a 3 dimensional structure similar to that shown in the Figure 5B. The strong electron bond which exists between the silicon and oxygen atoms gives the silica glass its impressive mechanical strength and thermal properties. However, the drawback to the silica glass network is its limited solubility of rare earth ions (<3wt%). One explanation of this phenomenon is that rare earth ions require co-ordination of a sufficiently high number of non-bridging oxygens to screen the electric charge of the ion, whereas highly rigid silica glass network cannot co-ordinate the non-bridging oxygens resulting in a system with a higher enthalpy state. Therefore, rare earth ions tend to share non-bridging oxygens to reduce the excess enthalpy, resulting in the

![Fig. 5. (A) & (B) Tetrahedral structure of \((\text{SiO}_4)^2-\), showing 4 oxygen atoms surrounding the central silicon atom and 3-dimensional structure of SiO2, showing the interconnection of the tetrahedral units. (C) Schematic view of the phosphate glass network showing the different type of atoms neighbourhoods.](image-url)
formation of clusters. To accommodate greater amounts of rare earth ions, network modifiers are required to increase the number of available non-bridging oxygen ions in the silica glass network. Network modifiers such as Na$^{3+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ are often used to facilitate the incorporation of rare earth ions, as their size is substantially greater than the basic network. These modifiers act to break the bridging oxygens to form non-bridging oxygens which can be used to co-ordinate the rare earth ions. Of the network modifiers studied in silica glass to date, Al$_2$O$_3$ has shown the most favourable characteristics. Al$_2$O$_3$ has also been used to improve the efficiency of Er$^{3+}$-doped fibre amplifiers by eliminating the quenching effects from the $4I_{13/2} \rightarrow 4I_{15/2}$ transition (Corradi et al., 2006).

### 3.2 Tellurite glass

Recent work on the structure of tellurite glasses has concluded that the network more closely resembles paratellurite ($\alpha$-TeO$_2$), where [TeO$_4$] units are only linked at their corners (Stavrou et al., 2010). Combining TeO$_2$ with network modifiers (such as Na$_2$O) and intermediates (such as ZnO) results in structural modification to chain like structures. In general the tellurite glasses follow the pattern of crystalline $\alpha$-TeO$_2$, which are formed by [TeO$_4$] groups as trigonal bipyramids. Such structural units can progressively form [TeO$_{3+1}$] and trigonal pyramids [TeO$_3$] (Surendra babu et al., 2007). Upon network modified, the glass network becomes more open and more non-bridging oxygens are created (Golubeva, 2003; Vijaya Prakash et al., 2001). Tellurite glasses are very promising materials for up-conversion lasers and nonlinear applications (such as photonic crystal fibers) in optics due to some of their important characteristic features such as high refractive index, low phonon maxima and low melting temperatures. Recently, an addition of oxides of heavy metals such as Nb, Pb and W to tellurite glasses is being studied extensively because such additions seem to show remarkable changes in both physical and optical properties of these glasses (Vijaya Prakash et al, 2001).

### 3.3 Phosphate glass

The structure of phosphate glass consists of random network of phosphorous tetrahedra. In glassy and crystalline phosphate the basic building blocks are PO$_4$ tetrahedra. In a pure phosphate glass the tetrahedra are linked through three of the oxygens while the fourth oxygen is doubly bonded to the phosphorus atom and does not participate in the network formation. The networks of phosphate glasses can be classified by the oxygen to phosphorus ratio, which sets the number of tetrahedral linkages (through bridging oxygens) between neighboring P-tetrahedra (Vijaya Prakash & Jaganaathan, 1999). When the modifier cations are added to the phosphate glasses, the P=O of phosphate group is unaffected and depolymerization takes place through the breaking linkages only. When a glass modifier (oxides, such as Al$_2$O$_3$) is added the network breaks up creating non bridging oxygens in the structure which coordinate the metal ions of the modifier oxide, Figure 5C. With increasing amount of modifier, the number of non-bridging oxygens, per PO$_4$ unit, will go from zero to three for orthophosphates. At this composition the host structure consists principally of chains of corner linked PO$_4$ tetrahedra with 2 non-bridging oxygens per tetrahedron. The metal ions of the modifier oxide will not participate in the network but will associate to the non-bridging oxygens (Seneschal et al., 2005).

In recent days NASICON type phosphate glasses (acronym for the crystalline Na-Super-Ionic Conductor, Na$_{1+x}$Zr$_2$P$_3$Si$_6$O$_{12}$) has attracted much attention, as they facilitate a large scope for preparing a number of glasses with variation in their constituent metal ions and
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Compositions. These glasses have the general formula \( A_m B_n P_3 O_{12} \) where \( A \) is an alkali or alkaline earth metal ion and \( B \) is one or more metal ions in tri, tetra or pentavalent state. Constituent metal ion variation in these glasses has shown marked changes in physical, linear and nonlinear optical properties (Mariappan et al., 2005, 2005, et al., Vijaya Prakash et al., 1999,00,01,02). It is also interesting to note that the rare earth ions in NASICON glasses are likely to be located in the sites of \( A \) and \( B \), implies that the rare earth oxides are actively involved in glass network than as simple dopants. Moreover, due to the presence of alkali ions, the rare earth solubility improves leading to the possibility of using a high concentration of dopants, which is very important for short length optical amplifiers, and further provides suitability for the fabrication of optical waveguide devices by ion exchange. Also chloro/fluorophosphate glasses show potential as hosts for lasers and holographic gratings, specially lead-bearing fluorides are considered to be good candidates for up-conversion studies (Pradeesh et al., 2008, Vijaya Prakash, et al 1999).

Phosphate laser glass is an attractive amplifier material because it combines the required properties of good chemical durability, high gain density, wide bandwidth emission spectrum of erbium, and low up-conversion characteristics (Miniscalco, 1991). Phosphate glass exhibits a high gain density due to a high solubility for rare earth ions. The high ion density results in a significantly short-length optical gain device than silica based glass counterparts. For example, Erbium doped silica fiber is typical gain coefficients are about of 2 to 3 dB/m, whereas in phosphate glass waveguide it is about 2 to 3 dB/cm. Erbium-ytterbium doped phosphate glass technology, in particular, has demonstrated a significant capacity for large gain per length coefficients in addition to providing the ability to tailor the absorption by the ytterbium concentration. These combined aspects of the phosphate glass material, and reported results, Table2, support it as a prime candidate for producing compact photonic modules employing gain.

4. Erbium doped waveguide fabrication

As discussed earlier, glass is of particular interest for integrated optics because of relatively low cost, excellent transparency, high optical damage threshold and availability in substantially large sizes. It is rigid and amorphous which makes it easier to produce polarization-insensitive components. Refractive index can be tailored close to that of optical fiber to reduce the coupling losses between the waveguides and optical fibers. There are various waveguide fabrication methods available for waveguides amplifiers such as Ion Implantation of Er ions directly into the pre-fabricated waveguide (Bentini et al., 2008), Thin film techniques (RF Sputtering/PECVD/EBVD) combined with photolithography, reactive ion etching (RIE) and flame hydrolysis (Shmulovich, et. al., 1992; Nakazawa & Ktmuraa, 1992). Composite erbium-doped waveguides (Honkanen et. al., 1992,) and sol-gel based low-cost integrated optoelectronic devices are some of the other interesting developments (Najafi et. al., 1996; Milova et. al., 1997).

Micromachining of glass substrates by high-power femtosecond laser pulses is one of the recent development in the fabrication of optical channel waveguides, Figure 6C. This technique has an unique advantage in fabricating of 3-D waveguides inside glass substrates, which is not easy from conventional ion-exchange and photolithographic processes. Channel waveguides written using ultrafast lasers in erbium-doped phosphate glasses for integrated amplifiers and lasers operating in the C-band have been already demonstrated (Osellame, 2003).
Among all, ion-exchange has been the most popular technique for rare earth doped waveguides in glass. Molten salt bath has been used as a source of ion-exchange for fabrication of glass waveguides (Ramaswamy & Srivastava, 1988). In this process usually the ion-exchange take place between alkali ions (mostly Na⁺) of the glass host and monovalent cations (Cs⁺, Rb⁺, Li⁺, K⁺, Ag⁺ and Tl⁺) from molten salt bath. Alkali containing phosphate glasses are of right choice because of high solubility of rare earth ions without significant reduction in the emission life times. Moreover, many oxide based glasses exhibit poor chemical durability during ion-exchange waveguide fabrication, due to their weak structural network that results into damage of surfaces. Phosphate glasses are considerably stable to thermal and chemical induced fluctuations among other oxides. Ion exchange involves a local change in composition which is brought about by mass transport driven by thermal or electric field gradients or some combination of the two, using lithographically designed mask. Usually, the ion exchange process has no effect on the basic structure of glass network if it is carried out at temperatures well below the softening point of the glass. The ion exchange can be purely a thermal diffusion process, or an electric field assisted diffusion process. The refractive index change and diffusion depth can be controlled easily by proper choice of the exchanged ions and the glass compositions. Table 3 gives ion-exchange conditions for phosphate, tellurite and silicate glass compositions along with refractive index change and diffusion depths. The index profile can be tailored from shallow graded to a step like function with the assistance of electric field. For slightly buried waveguides, field assisted one step or two step ion exchange processes is often used, (Figure 6A&B) (Liu and Pun, 2007). This technique has already well-demonstrated the capacity to form planar and channel waveguides as power splitters, multiplexers, optical amplifiers (EDWAs), with integrated-optical functions with great stability and low losses.

The depth of the waveguide \( d \) is related the diffusion time \( t \) (time of the ion-exchange process) by the equation \( d = \sqrt{D \cdot t} \) where \( D \) is the effective diffusion coefficient which depends on the molten salt solution, the glass and the temperature. This kind of diffusion profile indicates that the mobility of the incoming alkali ion (example, Ag⁺) is much lower
than that of the original ion (example, Na⁺) in the glass. Furthermore, the diffusion coefficient shows an Arrhenius temperature dependence:

$$D = D_0 \exp \left( \frac{-E_D}{RT} \right)$$  (12)

where $D_0$ is a fitting constant, $E_D$ is the activation energy, $T$ is the temperature of the bath and $R$ is the universal gas constant (8.314 J/K mol).

A successful ion-exchange process to fabricate stable and low-loss waveguides demands (1) control of host glass composition and identifying suitable processing conditions, (2) increased ASE cross sections with high gain flatness, (3) high refractive index and low-loss glass hosts with a compatibility for efficient emission, and (4) complete understanding of guest-host interaction in rare earth doped glass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Melt composition</th>
<th>RE</th>
<th>T°C</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$\Delta\eta$</th>
<th>$d$</th>
<th>D.C.</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20Na₂O-(5-x-y)Al₂O₃-x/15Er₂O₃-y/30Nb₂O₅-15TiO₂-30P₂O₅</td>
<td>97.33g NaNO₃+2.67g AgNO₃</td>
<td>Er³⁺/</td>
<td>Er³⁺/</td>
<td>Yb³⁺</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1h</td>
<td>1.836</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOG-1</td>
<td>5AgNO₃+95KNO₃</td>
<td>Er³⁺/</td>
<td>Yb³⁺</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>35min</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>5.8x10⁻¹⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellurite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25WO₃-15Na₂O-60TeO₂+(0.05-2Er₂O₃)</td>
<td>2AgNO₃+43KNO₃+55NaNO₃</td>
<td>Er³⁺</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>90min</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12Na₂O-35WO₃-53TeO₂-1Er₂O₃</td>
<td>1.0AgNO₃+49.5NaNO₃+49.5KNO₃</td>
<td>Er³⁺</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>5h</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75TeO₂-2GeO₂-10NaO₃-12ZnO-1Er₂O₃</td>
<td>2AgNO₃+49KNO₃+49NaNO₃</td>
<td>Er³⁺</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>3-12h</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>1.0x10⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75SiO₂-14Na₂O-11CaO-1Al₂O₃-0.5PO₃-0.6K₂O</td>
<td>0.5AgNO₃+99.5NaNO₃</td>
<td>Er³⁺/Yb³⁺</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>6x10⁻⁹</td>
<td>at1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12Na₂O-ZnO+MgO+SiO₂+2Er₂O₃</td>
<td>100KNO₃ for K⁺⁺Na⁺</td>
<td>Er³⁺</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74.3SiO₂-13.4Na₂O-4.4CaO-2.8Al₂O₃-3.2MgO-0.5K₂O-1.4BaO</td>
<td>41KNO₃-59Ca(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>Pure</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>6h</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Kosikova, et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Ion-exchange waveguide characteristic parameters, substrate refractive index ($\eta$), change in refractive index ($\Delta\eta$), depth (d,µm) of waveguide and diffusion coefficient (D.C.,m²/s) of melt composition, temperature (°C) and time used for various phosphate, tellurite and silicate, glass waveguides.

5. Spectroscopic and waveguide characterization

5.1 Prism coupling

Prism coupling technique is widely considered as one of the effective ways to characterise planar optical waveguides. The totally reflecting prism coupler technique, also known as the m-line technique, is commonly used to determine the optical properties of thin films. The coupling of an incident laser beam by a prism into a planar waveguide is governed by the
incident angle $\theta$ of the beam on the prism base. Under total internal reflection conditions, coupling of light into the waveguide would occur via resonant frustrated total reflection, i.e. via evanescent waves in the air layer (Figure 7A). Such coupling occurs only when resonant conditions inside the waveguide are met such phase matching condition. This leads to a finite number of discrete incidences of the light beam, for which the light can be strongly coupled into the waveguide and can be transmitted through the substrate. In the experiment, the resonant coupling of the laser beam into the waveguide is observed through the appearance of dark and bright lines in the reflected beam known as $m$-lines, Figure 7B. Effective index of each guided mode in a waveguide is calculated by

$$n_{\text{eff}} = n_p \sin \left( \theta_p + \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{\sin \theta_m}{n_p} \right) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

where $n_p$ is the prism index, $\theta_p$ is the angle of prism and $\theta_m$ is the synchronised angle. From the measured refractive index profile, one can estimate the information of waveguide, such as the depth, the change in refractive index and the number of modes coupled to the waveguide. The most popular method for refractive-index profiling of planar waveguides is the inverse Wentzel-Kramer-Brillouin (WKB) method, in which the refractive-index profile of a waveguide is defined uniquely by the relationship between the effective index and the mode order, i.e., the effective-index function (Chiang, et. al., 2000).

5.2 Spectroscopic properties
The relative absorption and emission cross sections at both pump and signal wavelengths are obtained from the absorption and emission measurements, as mentioned earlier. For intensity profile of the guided modes and scattering losses, light will be fed through butt-coupling fiber coupled to a tunable laser source through the channels of edge-polished waveguides. The mode field profiles at the signal and pump wavelengths are obtained by near-field IR imaging at the waveguide output facets. The channel waveguide scattering loss are estimated from the Fabry-Perot resonator method (Lee, 1998) and propagation losses can be estimated from the conventional cutback method.

Fig. 7. (A) Schematic representation of prism coupling, (B) photograph of $m$-line pattern and (C) $m$-line intensity spectra as a function of effective refractive index.
The small signal gain or optical gain measurements are usually performed from the typical experimental setup shown in Figure 8, consists of a tunable laser (signal) and a semiconductor laser diode (pump) as shown in Figure 8. The signal and pump lasers are combined by a suitable fiber WDM and coupled into the waveguides using a single mode fiber. At the waveguide output facet, the amplified signal will be conveniently separated from the pump in the second fiber WDM coupler and the eventual signal is detected using a detector or optical spectrum analyzer. The signal light intensities from the output of the waveguide with and without pump laser were measured to estimate the internal gain \( G_{\text{INT}} \) (= signal light power with pump/signal light power without pump).

The optical gain \( G_O \), relative gain \( G_R \) (signal enhancement), and net gain \( G_N \) of the waveguide amplifier are defined as

\[
G_O = 10 \log \left( \frac{P_{\text{Sig (pump ON)}}}{P_{\text{Sig (pump OFF)}}} \right)
\]

\[
G_R = 10 \log \left( \frac{P_{\text{Sig (pump ON)}} - P_{\text{ASE}}}{P_{\text{Sig (pump OFF)}}} \right)
\]

\[
G_N = G_R - \text{coupling losses-waveguide losses-RE}^{3+} \text{ absorption losses}
\]

Fig. 8. Experimental setup for Er doped waveguide optical gain measurements

In order to obtain the net gain, it is reasonable to use the following three approaches for a practical waveguide amplifier. The first one is to decrease the waveguide loss by improving the waveguide quality; the second is to increase the coupling efficiency; and the third one is to enhance the pump power, or improve mode confinement in the guide at both pump and signal wavelengths.

6. Conclusion

A brief review of rare earth-doped glass waveguides and their potential application as optical amplifiers is presented. Significance of spectral information, glass composition and rareearth (RE) ion-glass host interaction for engineering waveguide devices, which can potentially useful to design waveguide devices and/or fully integrated photonic structures is discussed. Further, a brief review on fabrication strategies related to waveguides and the influence of the glass composition and other conditions are also presented. Glass-based waveguides thus offer excellent flexibility in fabricating multi-functional optoelectronic
devices using cost-effective technologies, having adequate knowledge of glass and rare earth properties.

Table 4 gives the optical gain parameters of various phosphate, tellurite and silicate glass waveguides fabricated from different fabrication methods, ion-exchange (IE), ion exchange filed assisted annealing (IE FAA) and femtosecond laser writing (FSLW). Optical gain parameters includes the coupling losses (CL, dB/facet), propagation losses ($\alpha_p$, dB), absorption losses ($\alpha_a$, dB), insertion losses (IL, dB), internal gain ($G_{\text{INT}}$, dB), relative gain ($G_R$, dB) and net gain ($G_N$, dB/cm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of fabrication</th>
<th>Channel aperture, laser specification</th>
<th>Length cm</th>
<th>RE</th>
<th>CL dB/facet</th>
<th>$\alpha_p$ dB/cm</th>
<th>$\alpha_a$ dB</th>
<th>IL dB</th>
<th>$G_{\text{INT}}$ dB</th>
<th>$G_R$ dB</th>
<th>$G_N$ dB/cm</th>
<th>Gain range (nm)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE, FAA</td>
<td>6µm</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>Er$^{3+}$-Yb$^{3+}$</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1520-1580</td>
<td>Zhang et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>4-12 µm</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>1520-1580</td>
<td>Liang et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>4-10µm</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>Er$^{3+}$-Yb$^{3+}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>1530-1560</td>
<td>Wong et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE, FAA</td>
<td>6 µm</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Er$^{3+}$-Yb$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1530-1560</td>
<td>Liu et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE, FAA</td>
<td>6.8 µm</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Er$^{3+}$-Yb$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Liu et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSLW</td>
<td>150fs,500µJ, 1kHz, 270µJ, 885kHz</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Er$^{3+}$-Yb$^{3+}$</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>1530-1565</td>
<td>Osellame et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSLW</td>
<td>22MHz,350fs, 1µJ</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td></td>
<td>Er$^{3+}$-Yb$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1530-1580</td>
<td>Valle et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSLW</td>
<td>166kHz, 300fs, 270µJ</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Er$^{3+}$-Yb$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1530-1550</td>
<td>Taccheo et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellurite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSLW</td>
<td>600kHz,350fs, 1.3µJ</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>Er$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1530-1610</td>
<td>Fernandez et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>3µm thick</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Er$^{3+}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Sakida et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>7-13µm</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>Er$^{3+}$-Yb$^{3+}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Rigolini et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>3µm</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>He et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>3µm</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
<td>Er$^{3+}$-Yb$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Peters et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSLW</td>
<td>1kHz,100fs, 1-90µJ</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Er$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Vishnubhatla et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSLW</td>
<td>600kHz,350fs, 40-150µJ</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Er$^{3+}$-Yb$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1535-1555</td>
<td>Psaila et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSLW</td>
<td>5kHz,130fs, 0.3µJ</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Er$^{3+}$</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Thomson et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSLW</td>
<td>5kHz,230fs, 0.9µJ</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td></td>
<td>Er$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Thomson et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Various optical gain parameters of Phosphate, tellurite, Silicate glass waveguides

7. Acknowledgments

Authors acknowledge the financial support from Ministry of Information Technology, India through the project on “Ultra Wideband Optical Sources from rare earth co-doped glass Waveguides: Fabrication and Characterization” under Photonics switching Multiplexing and Networking (PSMN) programme-Phase II. This work is partially supported by UK-India Education and Research Initiative (UKIERI) programme.
8. References


phospho-tellurite glass. Optics Express, 16, 19, (September, 2008) 15198-15205, 1094-4087


Liang, G. J.; Gong-Wang, S., Huan, M. & Li-Li, H., Qu, L. (2005). Gain and Noise Figure of a Double-Pass Waveguide Amplifier Based on Er/Yb-Doped Phosphate Glass. Chinese Physics Letter, 22,11, (July, 2005) 2862-2864, 0256-307x


(b) Preparation, characterization, ac conductivity and permittivity studies on vitreous M4AlCdP3O12 (M = Li, Na, K) system. Materials Science and Engineering B, 121, (July, 2005) 2-8, 0921-5107


Vijaya Prakash, G. (2000). Absorption spectral studies of rare earth ions (Pr$^{3+}$, Nd$^{3+}$, Sm$^{3+}$, Dy$^{3+}$, Ho$^{3+}$ and Er$^{3+}$) doped in NASICON type phosphate glass, Na$_4$AlZnP$_3$O$_{12}$. Materials Letters, 46, (October 2000) 15-20, 0167-577x


